This article was downloaded by: On: 24 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Macromolecular Science, Part A

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713597274

Synthesis and Characterization of Polyisobutylene-Polybutadiene Diblocks

Sandor Nemes^{ab}; Joseph P. Kennedy^b ^a Department of Applied Chemistry, L. Kossuth University, Debrecen 10, Hungary ^b Institute of Polymer Science The University of Akron, Akron, Ohio

To cite this Article Nemes, Sandor and Kennedy, Joseph P.(1991) 'Synthesis and Characterization of Polyisobutylene-Polybutadiene Diblocks', Journal of Macromolecular Science, Part A, 28: 3, 311 — 328 To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/00222339108052145 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00222339108052145

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

SYNTHESIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF POLYISOBUTYLENE-POLYBUTADIENE DIBLOCKS

SANDOR NEMES[†] and JOSEPH P. KENNEDY*

Institute of Polymer Science The University of Akron Akron, Ohio 44325-3909

ABSTRACT

The synthesis and characterization of novel polyisobutylene-polybutadiene diblocks (PIB-*b*-PBd) are described. The total synthesis involves two steps: 1) The synthesis of *t*-chlorine terminated PIB (PIB-CH₂C(CH₃)₂Cl, PIB-Cl') and 2) the one-pot dehydrochlorination-metalation of PIB-Cl' by *n*-BuLi/*t*-ROK system (where *t*-R = *t*-butyl, *t*-amyl) to the PIB--CH₂C(=CH₂) CH₂⁻, (PIB⁻) anion followed by initiation of butadiene (Bd) polymerization by the macroanion. Detailed characterization studies including ¹³H- and ¹³C-NMR spectroscopies, GPC, fractional precipitation, and model compound studies conclusively prove the synthesis of the PIB-*b*-PBd diblocks with ~60% 1,2 and ~40% 1,4 PBd microstructure.

INTRODUCTION

In the course of our work on the synthesis of terminally functional polymers, we became interested in exploring the possibility of synthesizing PIB⁻ anions and using these intermediates to initiate the anionic polymerization of Bd in hydrocarbon solvent. Since isobutylene can be

†Visiting scientist. Permanent address: Department of Applied Chemistry, L. Kossuth University, H-4010, Debrecen 10, Hungary.

Copyright © 1991 by Marcel Dekker, Inc.

polymerized only by cationic mechanism, the first segment of the target diblock had to be prepared by carbocationic technique; in contrast, the synthesis of the second segment called for an anionic polymerization method. Synthesis in which two (or more) mutually exclusive polymerization mechanisms are sequentially combined are called "transformation reactions" [1-3]; schematically:

 $A_n \xrightarrow{+ mB} A_n \longrightarrow B_m$

The strategy in the present instance was to prepare a PIB capped with a well-defined end-group by living carbocationic polymerization $(LC^{-}Pzn)$, transform the end group by dehydrochlorination/metalation to an anion (PIB⁻) capable of inducing the polymerization of Bd, and concluding the synthesis by the addition of Bd. Schematically:

$$CH_{2}=C(CH_{3})_{2} \xrightarrow{LC^{-}Pzn} PIB \sim CH_{2}C(CH_{3})_{2}Cl \xrightarrow{-HCl} PIB \sim CH_{2}C(=CH_{2})CH_{3} \xrightarrow{metalation} PIB \sim CH_{2}C(=CH_{2})CH_{2}^{-} \xrightarrow{+Bd} PIB-b-PBd$$

The synthesis of the first intermediate PIB-Cl' has been worked out previously [4]. The second and third steps, the quantitative conversion of PIB-Cl' to the desired PIB⁻ anion by a one-pot dehydrochlorinationmetalation process using *n*-BuLi/sec-BuLi mixtures in the presence of polar complexing agents, has recently been described [5]. However, preliminary experiments have shown that this metalation procedure, while quantitatively yielding the anion, is unsuitable for diblock synthesis (presence of excess reactive anions yielding high amounts of homo-PBd contaminant).

Further experiments indicated that the reactivity of the PIB⁻ increases significantly if the dehydrochlorination-metalation-polymerization step is carried out with *n*-BuLi/*t*-ROK combinations. The increase in reactivity observed by switching from BuLi/TMEDA (N,N,N',N')-tetramethylethylenediamine) to *n*-BuLi/*t*-ROK is most likely due to the presence of reactive terminal ion pairs of PIB⁻K⁺ which aggregate with *t*-ROLi. The *t*-ROLi arises during transmetalation between *n*-BuLi and *t*-ROK [6–8]. The excess of *in-situ* formed *n*-BuK gradually decomposes [8, 9]: n-BuK \longrightarrow CH₃-CH₂-CH=CH₂ + KH

However, the anion PIB⁻K⁺ does not decompose because it is devoid of β -hydrogens. Thus specific conditions can be found under which the amount of residual *n*-BuK can be minimized in the presence of completely converted PIB.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

Hexanes were refluxed with sulfuric acid washed with water until neutral, dried over anhydrous CaCl₂, and distilled over CaH₂ under nitrogen. All other solvents (methanol, hexanes, CH₂Cl₂, benzene, pentane) were reagent grade (Fisher) and were used as received. *n*-Butyllithium (*n*-BuLi) (1.6 *M* solution in hexanes) (Aldrich), potassium-*t*-amylate (*t*-AmOK) (1.5 *M* solution in benzene) (Morton Thiokol), and potassium-*t*-butoxide (*t*-BuOK) (Aldrich) were used as received. 2,4,4-Trimethyl-1-pentene (Tm1P) (Aldrich) was distilled over CaH₂ before use. 1,3-Butadiene (Bd) (99 + %, Aldrich) was passed through a disposable inhibitor remover (Aldrich) before use. The (CH₃)₃C ~ PIBCH₂C-(CH₃)₂Cl (PIB-Cl') was prepared by the polymerization of isobutylene using the CH₃COOH/BCl₃/CH₃Cl/ - 45°C and 2-chloro-2,4,4-trimethylpentane/TiCl₄/CH₃Cl/*n*-C₆H₁₄/ - 45°C system [4].

Procedures

Metalations and polymerizations were carried out in a stainless steel dry box under a dry N₂ atmosphere using large test tubes (~75 mL) [10] and 250 mL round-bottom flasks equipped with a rubber septum. Metalated Tm1P and PIB solutions were used for polymerization experiments. The polymer-anion solutions were quenched by addition of methanol followed by dissolving the polymer in CH₂Cl₂ and precipitating into methanol. Conversions were determined after drying the polymers in vacuum at ambient temperature. The polymers were further purified by dissolving in CH₂Cl₂, filtering (to remove and quantitate the gel content), and evaporating the solvent (Rotovap). The purified polymers were analyzed by GPC and ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectroscopy.

Molecular weights (\overline{M}_n) and dispersities $(\overline{M}_w/\overline{M}_n)$ were determined by

a Waters high pressure GPC instrument equipped with dual RI and UV detectors and five μ -Styragel columns. The calibration curve was constructed of narrow MWD PIB standards [11].

¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR spectra were obtained with a Varian Gemini-200 spectrometer using CDCl₃ solutions (~15 and ~30 w/v) at room temperature. The ¹H-NMR spectra were recorded at 200 MHz (the main parameters were: pulse width, 14.5 μ s; pulse delay, 20 s; acquisition time, 5.0 s; number of transients, 64). The ¹³C-NMR spectra were recorded at 50.3 MHz under conditions of continuous proton broad-band decoupling and inverse gated decoupling [12-14] (the main parameters were: pulse width, 10.0 μ s; pulse delay, 3 and 12 s; acquisition time, 1.0 s; number of transients, 3200). The intensities of the signals were measured by electronic integration and, since the peaks overlap somewhat, by cutting and weighing [15, 16]. For quantitative characterization of PIBb-PBd's, peak intensities were obtained from proton-decoupled ¹³C-NMR spectra with suppressed nuclear Overhauser enhancement (inverse gated decoupling). We obtained the same results by using peak intensities from broad-band decoupled ¹³C-NMR spectra for measurement of relative peak ratios [17, 18].

The 1,2- and 1,4-contents of the polybutadienes were obtained by 'H-NMR spectroscopy (see the Structure Characterization Section).

Procedure for Metalation of Tm1P and of Butadiene Polymerization by Metalated Tm1P with the *n*-BuLi/*t*-AmOK/ Benzene System

A charge of 0.32 mL Tm1P (2.0 mmol), 8.0 mL t-AmOK (1.5 M in benzene) (12.0 mmol), and 1.37 mL n-BuLi (1.6 M in hexanes) (2.2 mmol) was stirred in a large test tube for 5 h at room temperature. After 5 h the solution was cooled to -15° C and the polymerization of Bd was started by adding the diene directly to the agitated charge. After 6 h the polymerization was quenched with prechilled methanol.

Procedure for One-Pot Dehydrochlorination-Metalation of PIB-CI^t and of Butadiene Polymerization by PIB⁻ with the *n*-BuLi/ *t*-AmOK/Benzene System

A charge of 8.57 g PIB-Cl' ($\overline{M}_n = 2450$; $\overline{M}_w/\overline{M}_n = 1.70$) (3.5 mmol), 28.0 mL *t*-AmOK (1.5 *M* in benzene) (42.0 mmol), and 5.50 mL *n*-BuLI (1.6 *M* in hexanes) (8.75 mmol) was stirred 5 h at room temperature. Bd was added to the charge at -10° C and stirred for 6 h. The polymeranion solutions were quenched by methanol.

Procedure for One-Pot Dehydrochlorination-Metalation of PIB-Cl^t and of Butadiene Polymerization by PIB⁻ with the *n*-BuLi/t-BuOK/ Hexanes System

A charge of 29.8 g PIB-Cl^t ($\overline{M}_n = 8500$; $\overline{M}_w/\overline{M}_n = 1.32$) (3.5 mmol) in 30 g hexanes, 2.40 g t-BuOK (21.0 mmol), and n-BuLi (1.6 M in hexanes) (see Table 3, column 1) was stirred 5 h at room temperature. Bd was added to the charge at -35° C and stirred for 2 h. The charges were quenched by methanol.

Fractional Precipitation by Addition of Nonsolvent

Fractional precipitation [19, 20] was carried out by the dropwise addition of methanol as the nonsolvent to a solution of 5.77 g PIB-b-PBd in 850 mL benzene (d = 0.874) plus 500 mL pentane (d = 0.626) in a separatory funnel at 25 ± 1°C. Pentane was used to reduce the density of the system and thus to avoid the ascending of the precipitate. (The densities of PIB and PBd are 0.84 and 0.89, respectively [21].) Rapid stirring and slow precipitant addition prevents local precipitation. At the onset of precipitation, after permanent turbidity appeared, stirring was discontinued and the system was stored (24 h or longer) until precipitation occurred. The precipitate was separated, dried to constant weight in vacuum, and its composition was determined by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy. The final fraction was obtained by evaporating the liquid.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Syntheses of PIB-b-PBds

Table 1 shows conditions and results of metalation of Tm1P and initiation of Bd polymerization by metalated Tm1P. The homogeneous solutions of metalated Tm1P gave ~100% conversions of Bd. The CH₂Cl₂-insoluble fraction (highly branched and/or crosslinked gel) increased with increasing Bd concentration. The $\overline{M}_w/\overline{M}_n$'s show a broadening tendency, but the GPC traces show no bimodality.

Table 2 shows conditions and results of the one-pot dehydrochlorina-

Butadiene, g (mmol)		Yield, \overline{M}_n (by ¹ H NMR), % ^b g/mol		$\overline{M}_n \text{ (by GPC)}, \\ \text{g/mol}^c \qquad \overline{M}_w / \overline{M}$	
1.32	(24.4)	98	760	790	1.15
1.98	(36.6)	97	975	1050	1.15
2.64	(48.8)	97	1320	1410	1.26
3.30	(61.0)	95	1610	1800	1.29
3.96	(73.2)	94	2270	2540	1.38
4.62	(85.4)	90	3050	3360	1.45
5.28	(97.6)	88	3830	4280	1.45

TABLE 1. Metalation of Tm1P and Butadiene Polymerization by Metalated Tm1P with the n-BuLi/t-AmOK/Benzene System ^a

^aMetalation conditions: 0.32 mL Tm1P (2.0 mmol), 8.0 mL *t*-AmOK (1.5 *M* in benzene) (12.0 mmol), 1.37 mL *n*-BuLi (1.6 *M* in hexanes) (2.2 mmol), room temperature, 5 h. Polymerizations conditions: -15°C, 6 h.

^bExclusive CH₂Cl₂-insoluble fraction.

^cPIB equivalent (based on PIB calibration curves).

tion-metalation-polymerization carried out with the PIB-Cl[']/n-BuLi/ t-AmOK/benzene/Bd system. The PIB-b-PBd products contain no detectable homo-PBd at low PBd/PIB ratios (entries 1-3, Table 2) and less than ~10% homo-PBd at higher PBd/PIB ratios (entries 4-7, Table 2). These analyses were performed by ¹³C-NMR spectroscopy (see the Structure Characterization Section). According to ¹³C analyses, 78-64% of the PIB was converted to diblocks. The conversion of PIB-Cl' decreases with increasing PBd/PIB ratio. It should be noted that the purified (CH₂Cl₂-insoluble fraction exclude) polymers were analyzed. Thus the CH₂Cl₂-insoluble fraction may contain crosslinked diblock together with PIB and PBd moieties.

Additional experiments focusing on the synthesis of higher molecular weight PIB-b-PBd diblocks indicated that the experimental conditions had to be modified on account of persistent gelation with the PIB-Cl^{\prime}/ *n*-BuLi/*t*-AmOK/benzene/Bd combination. We have found that by increasing the PBd sequence length, the extent of gelation increased and metalating agent efficiencies decreased. Although branching and crosslinking are likely events under the above experimental conditions, it was felt that in the presence of benzene gelation may occur and by substituting it by hexanes this problem may be alleviated. At the same time, in view of its lower cost and commercial availability, we substituted *t*-

Butadiene, Yiele g (mmol) % ^b		Yield, % ^b	PIB-Cl ^{<i>i</i>} conversion, % ^{b,c}	Homo-PBD, %°	\overline{M}_n (by GPC), g/mol ^d	$\overline{M}_w/\overline{M}_n$
1.32	(24.4)	98	78		2770	1.72
1.98	(36.6)	98	77	_	2910	1.76
2.64	(48.8)	97	75	-	3230	1.75
3.96	(73.2)	94	73	3	3510	1.67
5.28	(97.6)	92	67	5	3820	1.85
7.92	(146.4)	86	65	6	4260	2.07
9.24	(170.8)	81	64	9	4560	2.52

TABLE 2. One-Pot Dehydrochlorination-Metalation of PIB-Cl^t and Butadiene Polymerization by PIB⁻ with the *n*-BuLi/t = AmOK/Benzene System^a

^aDehydrochlorination-metalation conditions: 8.57 g PIB-Cl' ($\overline{M}_n = 2450$; $\overline{M}_w/\overline{M}_n = 1.70$) (3.5 mmol), 28.0 mL *t*-AmOK (1.5 *M* in benzene) (42.0 mmol), 5.50 mL *n*-BuLi (1.6 *M* in hexanes) (8.75 mmol), room temperature, 5 h. Polymerization conditions: -10° C, 6 h.

^bExclusive CH₂Cl₂-insoluble fraction.

^cBy ¹³C-NMR spectroscopy.

^dPIB equivalent (based on PIB calibration curves).

AmOK with *t*-BuOK. Thus, by changing the metalating agent and the solvent, i.e., by the use of the PIB-Cl^{\prime}/*n*-BuLi/*t*-BuOK/hexanes/Bd system, we were able to prepare PIB-*b*-PBd diblocks of higher PBd sequence lengths.

Table 3 summarizes the conditions found to be satisfactory for onepot dehydrochlorination-metalation followed by BD polymerization by PIB⁻. Thus the PIB-Cl^t/n-BuLi/t-BuOK = 1/6/6 system led to 100% Bd conversion and 4-7% easily filterable gel. Evidently the use of olefin-free hexanes at a relatively low temperature (e.g., -35° C) for a short time (-2 h) is conducive for the synthesis of essentially gel-free product.

Diblock Fractionation

The quantitative characterization of PIB-*b*-PBd is possible by ¹³C-NMR spectroscopy provided the product \overline{M}_n is less than \approx 5000. Thus we were compelled to use classical fractional precipitation [19, 20] to

<i>n</i> -BuLi (1.6 <i>M</i> in hexanes), mL (mmol)		Bd, g (mmol)	Bd conversion, %	<i>M</i> _n (by GPC), ^b g∕mol	$\overline{M}_{w}/\overline{M}_{n}$
11.0	(17.60)	34 (628)	~ 100 ^c	22,000 ^d	1.20
13.2	(21.00)	34 (628)	$\sim 100^{\circ}$	13,800	1.42
13.2	(21.00)	41 (754)	~100 ^c	15,200	1.45

TABLE 3. One-pot Dehydrochlorination-Metalation of PIB-Cl['] and Butadiene Polymerization by PIB⁻ with the *n*-BuLi/*t*-BuOK/ Hexanes System^a

^aDehydrochlorination-metalation conditions: 29.8 g PIB-Cl^{*i*} ($M_n = 8500$; $\overline{M_w}/\overline{M_n} = 1.32$) (3.5 mmol) in 30 g hexanes; 2.4 g *t*-BuOK (21 mmol); *n*-BuLi (1.6 *M* in hexanes) see column 1; 5 h; room temperature. Polymerization conditions: -35° C; 2 h.

^bPIB equivalent.

Contains 4-7% gel.

^dBimodal MWD containing some starting PIB of $\overline{M}_n = 8500$ g/mol and $\overline{M}_w/M_n = 1.32$.

quantitate homopolymer contamination in relatively high molecular weight PIB-b-PBd ($\overline{M}_n = 15,200; \overline{M}_w/\overline{M}_n = 1.45$, last entry, Table 3).

The results of fractional precipitation are summarized in Table 4 and Fig. 1. Significantly, precipitate does not form until the volume ratio of precipitant to total solution (λ) reaches ~0.23. In the range from $\lambda = 0.23$ to 0.34, homo-PIB precipitates, accompanied by the unavoidable coprecipitation of appreciable amounts of relatively low molecular weight PIB-*b*-PBd (tail effect) [19]. According to the data, the overall homo-PIB content in our product is ~20%. At $\lambda \approx 0.34$ the overall composition of the fractions abruptly changes, indicating massive precipitation of PIB-*b*-PBd. In the range from $\lambda = 0.34$ to 1.17, the diblock precipitates and ~94% of the product is recovered.

The final fraction, ~6%, obtained by evaporating the liquids, was analyzed by GPC and 'H-NMR spectroscopy. According to GPC this fraction contained two components (bimodal distribution), 65% diblock of $\overline{M}_n = 6970$ and 35% PBd of $\overline{M}_n = 1350$. Thus the overall PBd contamination of the product is ~2%.

Volume ratio of	Poly precip	Polymer precipitated ^a		₩ °
solution, λ	g	0%0	wt%	g/mol
0.228	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.254	0.387	6.7	0.0	21,200
0.260	0.618	10.7	5	19,700
0.270	0.810	14.0	6	18,300
0.278	0.910	15.8	8	17,300
0.287	1.047	18.1	11	16,600
0.297	1.133	19.6	15	16,300
0.309	1.196	20.7	19	15,700
0.327	1.207	20.9	_	15,500
0.335	1.210	21.0	-	_
0.343	1.250	21.7	48	14,700
0.374	1.670	28.9	64	14,300
0.388	2.005	34.7	67	14,100
0.427	2.250	40.0	69	13,800
0.510	2.970	51.5	72	13,200
0.512	3.310	57.4	74	13,000
0.620	3.610	62.6	74	12,100
0.675	3.880	67.2	75	11,770
0.738	4.175	72.4	75	9,930
0.834	4.540	78.7	76	9,170
0.910	4.770	82.7	77	8,970
1.030	5.121	88.8	78	8,490
1.171	5.405	93.7	82	7,050

TABLE 4. Fractional Precipitation of PIB-*b*-PBd ($\overline{M}_n = 15,200$; $\overline{M}_w/\overline{M}_n = 1.45$) 5.77 g; Solvent: 850 mL Benzene + 500 mL Pentane; Precipitant: Methanol

^aCumulative. ^bBy ¹H NMR. ^cBy GPC.



FIG. 1. Fractional precipitation of PIB-*b*-PBd. a) Percent polymer precipitated against volume ratio (λ). b) Percent polymer precipitated against composition.

Structure Characterization

The structure of representative PBd and PIB-*b*-PBd samples has been investigated by ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectroscopy. Figure 2 shows the ¹H-NMR spectrum of a PBd sample (Table 1, entry 1) obtained by Tm1P⁻. The chemical shift ranges are listed in Table 5 [16, 22-24].

According to ¹H-NMR spectroscopy [22, 23], the samples contain 60 $\pm 2\%$ 1,2 and 40 $\pm 2\%$ 1,4 enchainment. The 1,2 and 1,4 contents calculated from the olefinic and aliphatic regions are essentially the



FIG. 2. ¹H-NMR spectrum of a polybutadiene sample (Table 1, entry 1) initiated by Tm1P⁻.

TABLE 5. ¹H-NMR Chemical Shifts of Main Chain and Chain End Protons of Polybutadiene

Structure	Chemical shift, ppm		
$1,2 - CH_2 -$	1.00-1.55ª		
1,2 -CH	1.70-2.25 ^a		
$1,2 = CH_2$	4.80-5.03 ^a		
1,2 =CH-	5.25-5.70 ^a		
$1,4 - CH_2 -$	2.00-2.15 ^a		
1,4 = CH -	5.25-5.45°		
$CH_{1}-CH_{2}-$	0.72		
$(\overline{CH}_{3})_{3}C-CH_{2}-$	0.92		
$-C(=CH_2)-$	{ 4.68 4.84 ^b		
$-CH_3-CH=CH-CH_3$	1.54-1.67		

^aReferences 16 and 22-24.

^bHighly overlapped.

same. The calculations have been carried out by the following equations. For the olefinic region:

$$\frac{[1,2] + [1,4] = 1}{I(4.80-5.03 \text{ ppm}) - I(4.68 \text{ ppm})} = \frac{2[1,2]}{2[1,4] + [1,2]}$$

and for the aliphatic region:

$$[1,2] + [1,4] = 1$$

$$\frac{I(1.00-1.55 \text{ ppm})}{I(1.70-2.25 \text{ ppm})} = \frac{2[1,2]}{4[1,4] + [1,2]}$$

where [1,2] and [1,4] are the mole fractions of the 1,2 and 1,4 units, respectively, and I is the signal intensity in the chemical shift region given in the parentheses.

The number-average molecular weights (\overline{M}_n) were also calculated from ¹H-NMR spectra. The signal intensity due to the 1,2 structure was the internal standard:

$$\frac{I(4.80-5.03 \text{ ppm}) - I(4.68 \text{ ppm})}{I(0.92 \text{ ppm})} = \frac{2[1,2]DP_n}{9}$$
$$\overline{M}_n = \overline{DP}_n \cdot 54 + 111$$

where M_n and DP_n are the number-average and molecular weight degree of polymerization, and 54 and 111 are the weights of the repeat unit and Tm1P initiator moiety, respectively.

The number-average end functionality $\overline{F}_n = 0.97 \pm 0.03$ was obtained from the respective intensities of the sharp peak due to $(C\underline{H}_3)_3$ $C-CH_2-C(=CH_2)-CH_2-$ and the very small peak due to $C\underline{H}_3-CH_2-CH_2-CH_2-$. Samples from control experiments (that is, experiments carried out in the absence of Tm1P) showed the presence of *n*-butyl and phenyl moieties in the polymers. Evidently in the absence of Tm1P the *n*-BuLi/*t*-AmOK combination metalates benzene (i.e., the solvent for *t*-AmOK) which in turn initiates butadiene polymerization. This side-reaction is negligible in the presence of Tm1P. The reactivity order of organolithium polymerization initiators was butyl \geq benzyl ~ allyl > vinyl ~ phenyl [25]. We assume the same order for the alkyl-potassiums.



FIG. 3. ¹H-NMR spectrum of a PIB-b-PBd sample (Table 2, entry 4).

There is no evidence for the presence of cyclopentane rings in PBd which would arise by attack of the living chain end upon a penultimate 1,2-unit [26, 27]:



This is an anticipated result since cyclic structures are absent when all the monomer is present at the onset of the reaction [27].

Figure 3 shows the ¹H-NMR spectrum of a PIB-*b*-PBd sample (entry 4, Table 2). The resonances in the aliphatic region (i.e., 1–2.5 ppm) overlap severely. In contrast, resonances in the olefinic region (i.e., 4.5–6.5 ppm) are sufficiently resolved, and the microstructure of PBd in PIB-*b*-PBd can be determined. According to this analysis, the microstructure of PBd in the block is the same (i.e., $60 \pm 2\%$ 1,2 and $40 \pm 2\%$ 1,4 enchainment) as that of PBds prepared by Tm1P⁻ in model experiments.

The change in the diluent, metalating reagent (*n*-BuLi/*t*-BuOK), and polymerization temperature had little effect on PBd microstructure. According to ¹H-NMR analysis, the diblocks prepared by the PIB-Cl'/ *n*-BuLi/*t*-BuOK/hexanes/Bd system contain $58 \pm 2\%$ 1,2 and $42 \pm 2\%$ 1,4 enchainment.

The quantitative characterization of PIB-b-PBd is possible by ¹³C-



FIG. 4. ¹³C-NMR proton decoupled spectrum of a PIB-*b*-PBd sample (Table 2, entry 5) between 51 and 61 ppm.

NMR spectroscopy at \overline{M}_n below 5000. Figure 4 shows the ¹³C-NMR spectrum of a PIB-*b*-PBd sample (entry 5, Table 2) in the region from 51 to 61 ppm. The spectra of PBd's are devoid of resonances in this region [16, 28, 29] except for the characteristic $-CH_2-$ group resonances of PIB which appear between 51 and 61 ppm [30]. Thus, well-separated new $-CH_2-$ signal(s) were anticipated to appear due to the blocking of the PBD segment from PIB⁻. The assignment of $-CH_2-$ carbons in $(CH_3)_3C \sim PIB \sim C(CH_3)=CH_2$ [30] is as follows:

Significantly, examination of ¹³C-NMR spectra of PIB-*b*-PBd's showed four new signals between 51 and 61 ppm, i.e., at 56.87, 55.47, 53.63, and 52.42 ppm. The identification of these resonances was central to our analytical work.

We could not calculate (predict) these chemical shifts because of lack of relevant empirical chemical shift additivity parameters. However, a thorough analysis of several parameter sets relevant for the calculation of ¹³C chemical shift of alkanes [31] and shift positions convinced us that the appearance of the new resonances characteristic of $-CH_2$ -groups is due to the blocking of Bd from PIB⁻.

The exact position of the resonance is determined by the microstructure of the first Bd unit, i.e., whether it is of 1,2, and 1,4-*cis*, 1,4-*trans*, or 2,1 enchainment. The newly arising γ , σ , and ϵ carbons (particularly the shielding by the γ carbon), due to the first Bd unit, affect the specific location of the resonances:

first Bd unit 2,1 enchainment

$$|PIB \sim \sim CH_2C(CH_3)_2CHCCH_3$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \parallel$$
56.87 ppm CH_2
first Bd unit 1,2 or 1,4-*cis* or 1,4-*trans* enchainment

$$|PIB \sim \sim CH_2C(CH_3)_2CHCCH_3$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \parallel$$
55.47 ppm CH_2
PIB $\sim \sim CH_2CCH_2$ - first Bd unit 2,1 enchainment

$$\uparrow \qquad \parallel$$
CH_2
53.63 ppm
PIB $\sim \sim CH_2CCH_2$ - first Bd unit 1,2 or 1,4-*cis* or 1,4-*trans* enchainment

$$\uparrow \qquad \parallel$$
CH_2
52.42 ppm

According to molecular models, the first two structures, while congested, are not impossible to arise. The four new signals represent 78%-64% of the resonance area relative to the resonance area of the unreacted carbon (at 57.32 and 54.03 ppm). Comparison of the resonance intensity at 22.7 ppm (due to the CH₃CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂— carbon in the initiator moiety), relative to the resonance intensities of reacted and unreacted chain end $-CH_2$ — carbons, can be used to estimate the homo-PBd content.

Mechanistic Speculations

According to Hsieh and Wofford [32], the polymerization of butadiene by alkyllithium compounds in the presence of other-than-lithium alkali metal alkoxides gives rise to increased vinyl enchainment. The microstructure of PBd segments prepared by our methodology, i.e., by $Tm1P^-K^+$ and/or PIB^-K^+ ion pairs in the presence of alkoxides (formed by Li^+/K^- exchange during metalation), most likely also arises by this mechanism and yields high 1,2-enchainment.

The relatively highly ionic organopotassium compounds, whose ionic character is further enhanced by the electron-donating alkali metal alkoxide, induces high electron density at the γ -carbon atom of the anion, and thus enhances the reactivity of this site which in turn increases 1,2-addition [33-36].

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was supported by Nova Petrochemicals.

REFERENCES

- F. Schue, in *Comprehensive Polymer Science*, Vol. 6 (G. Allen and J. C. Bevington, eds.), Pergamon, Oxford, 1989, Chap. 10.
- [2] R. P. Quirk, D. J. Kinning, and L. J. Fetters, in *Comprehensive Polymer Science*, Vol. 7 (G. Allen and J. C. Bevington, eds.), Pergamon, Oxford, 1989, Chap. 1.
- [3] P. Rempp, E. Franta, and J.-E. Herz, Adv. Polym. Sci., 86, 145 (1988).
- [4] G. Kaszas, J. Puskas, C. C. Chen, and J. P. Kennedy, *Polym. Bull.*, 20, 413 (1988).
- [5] J. P. Kennedy, K. L. Peng, and L. Wilczek, Ibid., 19, 441 (1988).
- [6] J. L. Wardell, in Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry, Vol. 1 (G. Wilkinson, I. G. A. Stone, and E. W. Abel, eds.), Pergamon, Oxford, 1982, Chap. 2.
- [7] M. Schlosser and S. Strunk, Tetrahedron Lett., 24, 741 (1984).
- [8] L. Lochman and J. Trekoval, Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun., 53, 76 (1988) and references therein.
- [9] M. Schlosser, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 3, 287 (1964).
- [10] J. P. Kennedy and R. A. Smith, J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed., 18, 1523 (1980).
- [11] G. Kaszas, J. Puskas, C. C. Chen, and J. P. Kennedy, *Polym. Bull.*, 20, 419 (1988).
- [12] E. Breitmaier and W. Voelter, Carbon-13 NMR Spectroscopy, 3rd ed., VCH Verlagsgesellschaft mbH, Weinheim, 1987, Chap. 2 and references therein.

- [13] R. Freeman, H. D. W. Hill, and R. Kaptein, J. Magn. Reson., 7, 327 (1972).
- [14] R. K. Harris and R. H. Newman, Ibid., 24, 449 (1976).
- [15] F. A. Bovey, High Resolution NMR of Macromolecules, Academic, New York, 1972, Chap. 1.
- [16] H. Ashitaka, K. Inaishi, and H. Ueno, J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed., 21, 1973 (1983).
- [17] A. V. Cunliffe, "¹³C NMR Spectroscopy of Polymers," in *Developments in Polymer Characterization*, Vol. 1 (J. V. Dawkins, ed.), Applied Science Publishers, London, 1978, Chap. 1.
- [18] J. C. Randall and E. T. Hsieh, "¹³C NMR in Polymer Quantitative Analyses," in NMR and Macromolecules, ACS Symp. Ser. 247 (J. C. Randall, ed.) American Chemical Society, Washington, D.C., 1984, Chap. 9.
- [19] J. F. Rabek, *Experimental Methods in Polymer Chemistry*, Wiley, Chichester, U.K., 1980, Chap. 4 and references therein.
- [20] R. J. Ceresa, Block and Graft Copolymers, Butterworths, London, 1962, Chap. 9.
- [21] A. F. M. Barton, CRC Handbook of Solubility Parameters and Other Cohesion Parameters, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, 1985, Chap. 13, p. 246.
- [22] H. Y. Chen, Anal. Chem., 34, 1134, 1793 (1962).
- [23] Y. Tanaka, Y. Takeuchi, M. Kobayashi, and H. Tadokoro, J. Polym. Sci., Part A-2, 9, 43 (1971).
- [24] J. Zymonas, E. R. Santee Jr., and H. J. Harwood, *Macromole-cules*, 6, 129 (1973).
- [25] R. Waack and M. A. Doran, Polymer, 2, 365 (1961).
- [26] G. Quack and L. J. Fetters, Macromolecules, 11, 369 (1978).
- [27] A. R. Luxton, M. E. Burrage, G. Quack, and L. J. Fetters, *Polymer*, 22, 382 (1981).
- [28] Y. Tanaka, H. Sato, K. Hatada, Y. Terawaki, and H. Okuda, Makromol. Chem., 178, 1823 (1977) and references therein.
- [29] H. J. Harwood, Rubber Chem. Technol., 55, 769 (1982).
- [30] S. Nemes, J. Si, and J. P. Kennedy, Polym. Bull., 23, 597 (1990).
- [31] H.-O. Kalinowski, S. Berger, and S. Braun, Carbon-13 NMR Spectroscopy, Wiley, Chichester, U.K., 1988, Chap. 3 and references therein.
- [32] H. L. Hsieh and C. F. Wofford, J. Polym. Sci., Part A-1, 7, 449 (1969).
- [33] M. Morton, "Current Status of Anionic Polymerization," in

Anionic Polymerization, ACS Symp. Ser. 166 (J. E. McGrath, ed.), American Chemical Society, Washington, D.C., 1981, Chap. 2.

- [34] S. Bywater, "Relationship of Anion Pair Structure to Stereospecificity of Polymerization," in Anionic Polymerization, ACS Symp. Ser. 166 (J. E. McGrath, ed.), American Chemical Society, Washington, D.C., 1981, Chap. 5.
- [35] A. F. Halasa, D. N. Schulz, D. P. Tate, and V. D. Mochel, Adv. Organomet. Chem., 18, 55 (1980).
- [36] A. F. Halasa, D. F. Lohr, and J. E. Hall, J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed., 19, 1357 (1981).

Received May 2, 1990 Revision received August 28, 1990